

MESSENGER at Mercury: Scientific Highlights and End of Mission

Larry R. Nittler

Carnegie Institution of
Washington


Acknowledgments: The MESSENGER Science Team




NAC Science Committee, April 6, 2015

Mercury Is Difficult to Study

...by telescope ...



...or spacecraft.




Only prior visit was by
Mariner 10, 1974-1975

Mercury: planet of extremes




- Smallest, densest planet
- Closest to Sun
- Highest diurnal variation in temperature
 - $-170\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $+430\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$
- Very high Fe:silicate ratio
 - Core $\sim 70\%$ of mass, 80% radius
- Magnetic field: dynamic magnetosphere
- Low FeO in surface silicates
- Evidence for water ice in polar craters




“end-member of planet formation”

Extrasolar Planetary Context





Extrasolar Planetary Context



MESSENGER


- First spacecraft to orbit Mercury
- 7th NASA *Discovery* mission
 - PI: Sean C. Solomon
[formerly CIW, now
Columbia University]



Start in 1999 – Launch 2004 – Orbit 2011



- Six planetary gravity assists (1E, 2V, 3M) and 15 orbits around the Sun from launch to orbit insertion



Getting to Mercury




Earth (August 2005)




Venus (October 2006)

Mercury Flybys (2008-2009)



M1 (Jan 2008)




M2 (Oct 2008)

- >90% of surface imaged




M3 (Sep 2009)


Mercury Orbit Insertion (March 18, 2011)



Evolution of MESSENGER's orbit



Evolution of MESSENGER's orbit



MESSENGER's Guiding Science Questions mapped to Measurement Objectives

Science Questions

What planetary formational processes led to Mercury's high ratio of metal to silicate?

What is the geological history of Mercury?

What are the nature and origin of Mercury's magnetic field?

What are the structure and state of Mercury's core?

What are the radar-reflective materials at Mercury's poles?

What are the important volatile species and their sources and sinks near Mercury?

MESSENGER Measurement Objectives

Map the elemental and mineralogical composition of Mercury's surface

Globally image the surface at a resolution of hundreds of meters or better


Determine the structure of the planet's magnetic field

Measure the libration amplitude and gravitational field structure

Determine the composition of the radar-reflective materials at Mercury's poles



Characterize exosphere neutrals and accelerated magnetosphere ions

MESSENGER's Scientific Payload



MESSENGER's Scientific Accomplishments

- Reports on Mercury results fill several special issues and sections of various journals
- A new book on Mercury is in the initial stages




Feb 2013: MESSENGER imaging
coverage reached 100%




Mercury in “true” color – RGB: 630, 560, 480 nm

Global Maps (<~1km/px)



Multispectral map

Morphology map




Composition of Mercury

- Measurements by x-ray, gamma-ray and neutron spectrometers reveal surface chemistry
- S- and volatile rich
- Fe-poor


Rules out many pre-MESSENGER formation models and indicates starting materials highly chemically reduced

Mercury similar to Mars, Earth



(Peplowski et al., 2011,2012)


Composition of Mercury




- Element maps reveal remarkable heterogeneity

Geologic History: Widespread Volcanism

Northern Plains




Murchie et al. [2008]



Head et al. [2011]


New Landform: “Hollows”

- Bright deposits within impact craters show fresh-appearing, rimless depressions, commonly with halos.
- Formation from recent volatile loss?



Tectonics


- Mercury covered with “lobate scarps” (cliffs)
- Due to contraction of planet as it cooled



50 km




- Detailed analysis of MESSENGER data indicates much more contraction than previous work (Byrne et al. 2014)



Mercury Geophysics

- Radio Science combined with topography (left, from laser altimetry) to infer gravity map (below)
- Use to constrain interior


Zuber et al. Science [2012]



Smith et al. Science [2012]


Internal Structure

- Model of interior based on gravity field
 - Based on millions of internal structure models (Smith et al. 2012, Hauck et al. 2013)
 - Top of liquid core at $r=2020 \pm 30$ km [$R_{\text{planet}}=2440$ km]
- High density (FeS) layer at base of mantle not required but consistent with data and may be expected for highly reduced planet



Hauck et al. [2012]


Magnetic Field: Dipole with Equator Offset



- Magnetic field is dipolar and of the same sense as that of the Earth, but displaced northward from the planet center by 480 km
- Large offset is unprecedented in the solar system and puts constraints of the generation mechanism

Mercury's Magnetosphere


- Interaction with solar wind stretches Mercury's magnetic is stretched into an elongated cavity referred to as the magnetosphere
- Highly dynamic
- Frequent highly energetic bursts of 30-300 keV electrons – but no steady-state radiation belts. (Ho et al. 2012)




Slavin et al. [2009]

Mercury's Sodium and Calcium Exospheres Revealed


Calcium observations point to a persistent dawn source and suggest an origin associated with meteoroid impacts



Sodium observations in the tail region are dominated by seasonal variability




Mercury's Polar Deposits



- Deposits with radar characteristics of water ice discovered in polar craters by ground-based astronomy in 1992.
- Imaging of polar regions confirms that radar-bright deposits occur in permanently shadowed regions
- Thermal modeling indicates ice/organic stability where deposits located


Mercury's Polar Deposits



- Neutron emissions sensitive to hydrogen
- Decrease at Mercury's North pole quantitatively matches expectation if deposits are water ice


*Lawrence et al., Neumann et al., Paige et al.
Science [2013]*

Mercury's Polar Deposits




- Deep MESSENGER imaging also reveals brightness variations in deposits (Chabot et al , 2014)

XM2: Low-altitude Campaign



- Since April 2014, periapsis altitude <200km

XM2: Low-altitude Campaign



- Since April 2014, periapsis altitude <200km
 - Since August 2014, mostly 20-100km
- Allows unprecedented resolution!


Hollows – Extremely Young

Dark material on
the southwestern
peak ring of the
Scarlatti basin.



997-749-430 nm as R-G-B
EW1023663787G, 175 meters/pixel

Hollows – Extremely Young



Targeted high-resolution view of the area of the orange box


20 km

997-749-430 nm as R-G-B
EW1023663787G, 175 meters/pixel

Hollows – Extremely Young

Small impact craters occur on the surroundings, but few (if any) are found within the hollows.

Hollows are very young relative to the rest of Mercury's surface.



EN1051805374M, 3.8 meters/pixel




50 km

997-749-430 nm as R-G-B
EW0249959465G, 216 meters/pixel

Volcanic vent
between Copland
and Rachmaninoff

Site of explosive
eruption(s)

Volcanic vent near Copland crater



50 km

Targeted low-
altitude image,
area of red box

997-749-430 nm as R-G-B
EW0249959465G, 216 meters/pixel


Copland Volcanic Vent

1 km


Bright layers
and outcrops of
hollows material
on walls of the
vent

Fluting/gullies
on wall from
landslides


target site ID 10602
6.7 meters/pixel



Low-altitudes allowing
chemical measurements
with ~few km resolution




Remanent Crustal Magnetism





Johnson et al. LPSC 2015

- Altitudes 25 - 60 km
- Thermal preservation of magnetization over ~4 Gyr!




Gravity Anomalies







Gravity Anomalies



XM2' - Endgame





Mercury in
"enhanced"
color –
RGB: PC2,
PC1,
430/1000